

APPROVED MINUTES
VILLAGE OF ANTIOCH
BOARD OF TRUSTEES, COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
Municipal Building: 874 Main Street, Antioch, IL
November 6, 2006

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Larson called the November 6, 2006 committee of the whole meeting of the Board of Trustees to order at 7:00 PM in the Municipal Building: 874 Main Street, Antioch, IL.

ROLL CALL

Following the Pledge of Allegiance, roll call indicated the following Trustees were present: McCarty, Pierce and Turner. Also present were Mayor Larson, Administrator Haley, Attorney Magna and Clerk Rowe. Absent: Trustees Hanson, Caulfield and Porch.

TELEPHONE NOTIFICATION SYSTEM

Public Safety Inspector Lee Shannon introduced Jeff Casey of Connect-CTY who showed a power point presentation regarding the Connect-CTY system.

Trustee Caulfield arrived at 7:02 p.m.

Mr. Casey said Connect-CTY is a communication tool that could be used by local officials, emergency teams that could broadcast personalized voice messages to all citizens or a select group of citizens within minutes. This system is a web based application and Connect-CTY hosts the system, therefore the municipality does not have to invest in computer software, hardware or additional phone lines and maintenance. Mr. Casey also discussed some of the features of the Connect-CTY system which would allow notification to staff and community with a telephone; unlimited use by all departments for one annual fee; fully hosted ASP – no maintenance required; available residential and business phone numbers included and updated monthly; geo-calling feature targets recipients using a map from one household to the entire community; message delivery tracking with comprehensive reports.

Trustee Hanson arrived at 7:05 p.m.

Mr. Casey said they are in their sixth year and currently have over 8,800 active clients and compared the Connect-CTY system to an auto-dialer system. He discussed different situations where it would be beneficial to use this system, such as a lost child, special waste collection information, and senior wellness check or public works notification.

Trustee Porch arrived at 7:09 p.m.

Jeff Casey also discussed the service level agreements and the call routing systems that reroutes calls and reduces gridlocks. He also discussed the capabilities of doing a single survey or senior citizen wellness call. Mr. Casey demonstrated how the Connect-CTY system worked by sending a message to the Mayor and Board of Trustees and department directors cell phones. Trustee Porch asked Mr. Casey how he would get the information such as a senior citizens group. Mr. Casey said Connect-CTY could cross-reference a village program, such as the vehicle tag program, or they could send out a request in a newsletter or on our website to compile the information. Connect-CTY collects and updates the information. Trustee Pierce asked what the cost is based on, if it were based on population. Mr. Casey said they charge by the number of households and businesses with the cost being approximately \$2.00 a household. Trustee Pierce discussed partnering with Antioch Township. He asked if Connect-CTY could work with the total number of households between the Village and Township. Mr. Casey said that is possible to work with more than one agency and he discussed what was being done in the Village of Fox Lake and Grant Township and the Village of Grayslake and the Fire District. Trustee McCarty asked if there could be text messages sent. Mr. Casey said the system could send a text to text message or text to voice message showing the caller ID or email information being from the Village of Antioch.

Trustee Porch left the meeting at 7:33 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further discussion, a motion was made by Trustee Pierce, seconded by Trustee Turner to adjourn the committee of the whole meeting at 7:35 p.m. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSENT: 1: Porch.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Respectfully submitted,

Candi L. Rowe, CMC
Village Clerk

APPROVED MINUTES
VILLAGE OF ANTIOCH
BOARD OF TRUSTEES, REGULAR MEETING
Municipal Building: 874 Main Street, Antioch, IL
November 6, 2006

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Larson called the November 6, 2006 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees to order at 7:36 PM in the Municipal Building: 874 Main Street, Antioch, IL.

ROLL CALL

Following the Pledge of Allegiance, roll call indicated the following Trustees were present: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner. Also present were Mayor Larson, Administrator Haley, Attorney Magna and Clerk Rowe.

APPROVE BALANCE OF AGENDA FORM

Trustee McCarty moved seconded by Trustee Porch to approve the balance of the November 6, 2006 meeting agenda as presented. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

OCTOBER 16, 2006 REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve the October 16, 2006 meeting minutes as presented. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

PETITIONS/BIDS

No report.

MAYOR

No report.

CLERK

No report.

ADMINISTRATOR

Approve Lake Street Streetscape Change Order No. 4 - Administrator Haley reviewed the change order number 4 regarding the Lake Street Streetscape project. He explained there was an error in the description regarding the protective barrier on the concrete stamp sidewalks. Administrator Haley further explained that the concrete stamp procedure requires the newer hydroseal barrier process that is needed for the warranty. Mr. Haley said the change order is in the amount of \$12,095.25 with funds coming from both the TIF fund and general fund. He will update the board of the amounts coming from each fund after the change order is approved.

Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve the change order in the amount of \$12,095.25 and authorized the Village Administrator to sign the change order as recommended by Smith Engineering Consultants in their letter dated October 30, 2006. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Administrator Haley thanked Trustee Hanson for bringing the new hydroseal barrier process to our attention.

Memo dated November 1, 2006 regarding financing for 2004 Johnson VT650 demo street sweeper - Administrator Haley reported that the public works department street sweeper is beyond repair. He said one important feature of the street sweeper is that it's able to vacuum dirt and sludge out of the sewer manholes and is essential to the maintenance of our sewer collection systems. Administrator Haley said Bill Smith, Superintendent, has been shopping for a while for a replacement and he found a replacement demo model with only 50 hours of running time. Administrator Haley said the demo model is a 2004 model, but we could save \$40,000 to \$50,000 by purchasing the demo. He also discussed the vendor is willing to finance it a rate of 4.99%. However, we shopped locally and got a better rate of 4.75% from one of our local banks. Administrator Haley asked for a motion to purchase the 2004 Johnson VT650 Street Sweeper and authorized Administrator Haley and the Director of Finance to enter into a loan agreement with the State Bank of the Lakes for \$160,000 over 5 years at 4.75% interest.

APPROVED MINUTES

Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to authorize the purchase of the 2004 Johnson VT650 Street Sweeper and authorizes the Village Administrator and Finance Director to enter into a 5-year loan agreement with the State Bank of the Lakes for \$160,000 at 4.75% interest.

There was a discussion regarding the condition of the current street sweeper and when it's typically used. Administrator Haley indicated the truck portion of the current street sweeper works and will go to auction and that the sweeping and vacuuming features no longer work. Administrator Haley also said the street sweeper is used all winter long with the vacuum portion on the sewer systems. There was also a discussion as to whether the purchase of this item should have gone out for bid. Administrator Haley explained this was demo equipment that when the department was calling looking for cost estimates on a new sweeper, they were informed of a demo model that was available that included a new warranty. Attorney Magna explained bidding procedures and if the Board chooses to move forward with this purchase, thinking it's the best deal that they're going to get, to waive off on any bidding requirement. Administrator Haley said that if purchased new, the cost of a new sweeper would about \$230,000.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson said that he feels we need a new street sweeper and said we should re-think our process if the Village is going to rotate vehicles and depreciate equipment. He also questioned the process and thought purchasing a new street sweeper may be a better way to go. Administrator Haley said that he didn't anticipate rotating this kind of equipment every five years.

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner discussed a situation that happened a couple of years ago regarding equipment and said that she thought this was a good choice for the Village to make at this time. She said this is a unique situation and \$50,000 to \$60,000 is a lot of money to save.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that his concern goes back to the warranty and demo equipment and in his work experience the extra maintenance that is involved in repairing demo equipment. He said that spending the money for the new equipment may be better than spending money for repairs. Administrator Haley said the public works department used the demo street sweeper and they believe it's the right thing to do at this time.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield said that purchasing a demo could be a good thing, but he felt the information included in the packet was inadequate and felt more information in packet would be helpful.

Attorney Magna

Attorney Magna explained that if the Board by 2/3 majority of the corporate authority moved to waive formal bidding requirements and then approve the street sweeper purchase, is a lawful way to do that this evening.

Comment – Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch said that the Village Administrator is staff and is making the recommendation that the Village purchases the demo street sweeper based on telephone calls that were made to other equipment supply centers and he indicated there could be \$40,000 to \$50,000 savings depending manufacturer standard equipment.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty said that he trusts staff with their recommendation and in the future he would like to see more information included in the packet.

Following further discussion, Trustee Pierce and Trustee Porch withdrew their motion and second.

Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to waive the formal bidding process for the purchase of 2004 Johnson VT650 demo street sweeper from Tempco Machinery in the amount of \$160,000. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

APPROVED MINUTES

Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to approve the State Bank of the Lakes 4.75% interest rate on the \$160,000 for the purchase of the 2004 Johnson VT650 demo street sweeper and authorized the Administrator and Finance Director to enter into the 5-year loan agreement. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Other Business – ComEd rate structure – Administrator Haley discussed the recent committee meeting and the discussion regarding ComEd rate structure and increase. He said the Village of Antioch joined the Metropolitan Mayor's Municipal Energy Collaborative. Administrator Haley explained the process of gathering client electric bills specific information and they secure multiple supplier bids and compared those bids as soon as those prices were available. He said the collaborative received favorable bids from three companies, Mid-America, Peoples Energy and Constellation New Energy. We will save \$89,000 a year from Mid-America; \$100,931 from Constellation New Energy and \$112,523 from Peoples Energy. Administrator Haley explained the savings is based on the new ComEd new rates. Administrator Haley said the three agreements were reviewed by Attorney Magna who agreed that Peoples Energy is the appropriate agreement for the Village. He explained that because of the timing of the agreements and the latest bid amounts, he may not have time to bring this information back to the Board for final approval. Administrator Haley would like consensus of the Board to move forward with the agreement and to place it on the next agenda for ratification.

Attorney Magna

Attorney Magna said the Village of Antioch joined the Mayor's Cooperative to obtain bids. He said the problem is that these contracts are at the stage where they need to be locked down. He further explained that it's not on the agenda for approval; therefore the Board cannot take any formal action at this time. He said that Administrator Haley is indicating there may be a necessity to lock into the rates between now and the next meeting and not taking the chance of falling into the ComEd default category. He suggested as an alternative, Administrator Haley could sign the agreement, which does not bind the Village, but puts the risk on the electrical supplier that they have an agreement that has been signed but not formally approved by the corporate authority. This item can be placed on the next agenda and the Board could ratify the agreement, making it effective from his signature date. Attorney Magna further explained that this is not a procedure that should be done on a routine basis and he discussed another community's experience of the bids being available at 8:00 a.m. and the agreements having to be signed by 3:00 p.m. which doesn't leave any time to post a special meeting. He said the Board is forced into an unusual situation because it's outside our routine procedure and it's outside of everyone's experience because for the first time it's a deregulated electrical supply and we're now facing a January 1 time frame when the agreements have to be in place. He said the suppliers want the agreements now because they're making their wholesale arrangements with the generators. Attorney Magna reviewed the Board's options of doing nothing and run the risk of having a special board meeting, if time is available, or if you don't have that time, you run the risk of falling into the default category. Attorney Magna said of all the agreements he's reviewed regarding this matter, the Peoples Energy is the cleanest and most generous because it allows the Village to terminate within 30 days notice prior to the expiration of the term of the agreement and like all of the contracts it's self renewing.

Following further discussion, this item will be placed on the next village board agenda for ratification.

COMMUNICATIONS

No report.

CITIZENS WISHING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD

Father Scott Keenan, Open Arms Mission - Father Scott Keenan of the Open Arms Mission thanked the Village for their support. He said they are currently serving 200 families a week in the Antioch / Lake Villa area and he distributed information regarding the 2006 Holiday needs of the food pantry and the three holiday giving programs. **Holiday Meal Boxes** are \$25.00 each and will feed a family of 8; **Adopt-A-Family Program** where a "needs-wish-list" is provided and you adopt a family size of your choice, do the shopping and wrap the gifts; **Annual Community Thanksgiving Dinner** will be held at 2 sites this year on Thursday, November 23, 2006 from 12:00 Noon to 2:00 p.m. Antioch guests can go to the Antioch Senior Center, 817 Holbek and the second location is the Lake Villa United Methodist Church, 110 McKinley & Grand Avenue.

APPROVED MINUTES

Mayor Larson thanked Father Scott Keenan and volunteers for all their efforts. She said that notification that donations will be accepted at the Antioch Village Hall for the Open Arms Mission would be included in the community newsletter. Trustee Porch also thanked Father Scott Keenan for his dedication to the Open Arms Mission and she said several groups are doing food drives and she presented Father Scott Keenan with a donation from the Antioch Senior Council.

James Freedman, Rembrandt Drive resident – Mr. James Freedman discussed the aquatic center resolution and sign that is located on the former Bitner property and the need for clarification. Mr. Freedman reviewed previous board minutes and correspondence asked that sign on the property be removed until the Board approves a resolution and put the proper wording on the sign.

Stuart Malsch, Netherlands Drive resident – Mr. Stuart Malsch asked if the vacant home on the Bitner property has been winterized so that maintaining heat throughout the winter is not necessary. He also discussed the aquatic center and he expressed concerns regarding the noise from the pool. He said that noise will go over and beyond any berms that may be in place. Mr. Malsch reviewed past news articles and said the Board should take the time to look for a better suited site that will fit the ultimate design and would be less destructive to such a concentration of citizens over 50 and senior citizens. He also discussed the village's budget regarding the purchase of the street sweeper and said the village could save \$10-\$12,000 on the whole purchase if we used the 30% of excess funds available.

Pat Malsch, Netherlands Drive resident - Mrs. Malsch asked how the replacement of a pool could turn into an aquatic and recreation center. She asked if the Village is committed to PHN to finish the design phases on the Bitner property. She said if there are no funds at this time, the Board has the time to find a better site. She showed the Board photos of trees that are located on the Bitner property. She asked Administrator Haley a question regarding wetland mitigation. Administrator Haley said he would respond to her question if she puts them in writing to him.

Kathy Kurth, Netherlands Drive resident – Mrs. Kurth asked Administrator Haley who was quoted in the November 2, 2006 article in the Pioneer Press, as stating that it's the recommendation of village staff to counsel members that staff continues to gather information and study the alternatives and she asked who was the village staff. Regarding the aquatic center resolution, she asked how staff was able to gather the information so quickly. She asked who is presenting the resolution to the Village Board and said that as a citizen if this resolution is presented by a staff member who is not voted into office, she feels citizens should be able to review and question the resolution prior to any action being taken.

LEGISLATIVE AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Other Business – Employee Policies - Trustee Pierce told Board members there was a CD containing the Village Employee Policies distributed to the Board members in their mail slot and he asked Board members review it. The complete book of policies is available on the dais for anyone who would like to review the printed version.

PLANNING, ZONING AND BUILDING, SENIOR SERVICES

Other Business – Senior Center Annual Rummage Sale – Trustee Porch said the senior center will be holding their annual rummage and bake sale on Friday, November 10 and they were still looking for baked good donations.

ENGINEERING, PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES

Authorize Mayor to sign Lake County 2007 CDBG Application – Trustee Pierce reviewed the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and said that next spring, part of this block grant will improve Lake Street asphalt and replace some storm sewer mains.

Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to authorize Mayor Larson to sign the Lake County 2007 CDBG Application. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Larson thanked Trustee Pierce for all of his work done regarding this project.

FINANCE, ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT

Invoices over \$10,000 – Trustee Porch reviewed the informational report dated November 6, 2006 prepared by village staff showing invoices over \$10,000 and totaling \$193,963.38. Invoices listed were: Antioch Police Pension Fund in the amount of \$15,489.77 for property tax distribution; Blue Cross/Blue Shield in the amount of \$82,954.54 for employee

APPROVED MINUTES

health insurance; Lauterbach & Amen in the amount of \$20,000 for FY05-06 audit services; Smith Engineering Consultants in the amount of \$15,480.00 for 2006 resurfacing; Steve Moulis in the amount of \$10,000 for façade program; Stewart Spreading in the amount of \$30,039.07 for sludge hauling; and Village of Antioch Dolly Spiering Fund in the amount of \$20,000 for donation reimbursement.

Summary of Escrow – Trustee Porch reviewed the informational report dated November 6, 2006 prepared by village staff showing escrow payments in the amount of \$14,318.40.

Accounts Payable – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to authorize payment of accounts payable as prepared by village staff and dated November 6, 2006 in the amount of \$366,678.19. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Trustee Hanson wanted the record to show that he opposed the developer escrow payments to the Law Offices of Rudolph F. Magna, but he approved the rest of the accounts payables as presented.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield asked if the \$20,000 donation reimbursement to the Dolly Spiering Fund is returning the senior centers funds from the clock tower donation. Administrator Haley indicated that was correct.

Approve manual checks written to date - Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve the manual checks report dated November 2, 2006 written to date in the amount of \$1,236.17 as prepared by village staff. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Authorize payment of SSA accounts payable as prepared by village staff - Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve the SSA accounts payable report dated November 6, 2006 in the amount of \$2,500.00 as prepared by village staff. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Payroll Expense Report dated October 20, 2006 (including 10/28/06 Annual Fire payroll) - Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the Payroll Expense Report dated October 20, 2006 (including 10/28/06 Annual Fire payroll) in the amount of \$376,966.12 as presented. Upon roll call, the vote was:

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said the annual fire payroll amount was \$148,260.01.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson asked if the annual fire department payroll was only the village's portion. Administrator Haley said that is the full amount and the District reimburses the village for their portion.

Roll Call Vote - There being no further discussion, upon roll call the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Approval of \$1,000 sponsorship for Trap Attack Fishing Tournament for January 2007 – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Pierce to approve the \$1,000 sponsorship for Trap Attack Fishing Tournament for January 2007 as discussed in committee with the expenditure from the Antioch Business District Fund. Upon roll call, the vote was:

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said this was good idea to attract not only the summer time fishing tournaments, but also the winter time fishing tournaments because as we saw with last weekend's muskie tournament, we had 50 fishermen teams here with their families and friends who spent 4-5 days here which is a tremendous benefit to the community. He said that it's a

APPROVED MINUTES

good thing for the village to be recognized as fishing destination. He said that whoever is bringing this event to the village he wanted them to know that he thought it was a good idea.

Roll Call Vote – There being no further discussion, upon roll call the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Approval of \$1,500 sponsorship for the Boomtown Presentation, with Speaker Jack Shultz – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve the \$1,500 sponsorship for the Boomtown Presentation with Speaker Jack Shultz as discussed in committee with the expenditure from the Antioch Business District Fund. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Approval of façade improvement application for Coldwell Banker, 974 Main Street - Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the façade improvement application for Coldwell Banker, 974 Main Street in the amount of \$10,000 from the Antioch Business District Fund and as discussed in committee. Upon roll call, the vote was:

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce asked how much has been spent to date for the façade improvement program. Administrator Haley said that he will prepare a report for the board. Both Trustee Pierce and Trustee Porch thought the amount spent so far was approximately \$60,000.

Roll Call Vote - There being no further discussion, upon roll call the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Approval of holiday decorations proposal from Temple Display, Ltd – Trustee Porch discussed the holiday decorations proposal from Temple Display, Ltd as reviewed in committee. She said the proposal was for 30 4' wreaths; 10 4' wreaths – non electric; and 14 2' vinyl bows. Trustee Porch said that we would still be using our current Christmas decorations and these are additional decorations to enhance Lake Street, Main Street and the Metra area.

Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the holiday decoration sales order from Temple Display, Ltd., in the amount of \$9,996.40 with the expenditure being paid from the Antioch Business District Fund. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Approval of 2007 Antioch Chamber Events - Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve the 2007 Antioch Chamber Events as listed and distributed in the agenda packet:

Summer Arts & Crafts Faire – June 16-17, 2007

Antioch's Taste of Summer – July 19-22, 2007

Fall Arts & Crafts Faire – September 8-9, 2007

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson asked Chief Foerster if there were any problems with traffic safety. Chief Foerster said there is regular communication between the police department, the Chamber of Commerce and community service department. Trustee Hanson asked if we've received many telephone calls regarding any problems with these events. Chief Foerster said that things are going well with the regular meetings and communication between the departments.

Roll Call Vote - There being no further discussion, upon roll call the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSTAIN: 1: Porch.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Other Business - Muskie Tournament - Director of Community Services LeMere said the world championship tournament was extremely successful. He said besides the dollars

APPROVED MINUTES

brought into our community and the research done by tournament directors have done, show that each member of the tournament spends approximately \$1,000 in the local community in addition to the publicity received from radio, television and newspapers. He said that members and the directors of the tournament said this was the most friendly and wonderful community that a tournament was held in. He said that through the work and efforts of the Board and staff and with the growth of our area, we have been able to hold the friendly hometown community feeling. He said this tournament would like to return in the spring with a qualifying tournament that would bring 150 fishing teams.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson thanked Claude LeMere, Cindy Voelz and Donna Bevan who work in the community service department for their hard work during the tournament.

Other Business – Aquatic Center Resolution - Trustee Caulfield said that sometimes we at the board level make decisions in other committees that have a significant financial impact or a potential impact of the village and he feels tonight is one of those evenings we're in a position that quite possibly, that we're going to be asked to make a decision that he thought has a serious financial impact on the village. He said as he reads the resolution it more than greases the skids for this aquatic center on the Bitner property and we're being asked to make a decision on that without having all the facts.

Trustee Caulfield moved, seconded by Trustee Hanson to take the aquatic center resolution and put it on the table, or actually have it moved to committee of the whole where it can be properly discussed and identify the financial or potential impact on the village.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce questioned if the motion was legal because it was not listed on the agenda and said that we've already approved the meeting agenda for the evening.

Attorney Magna

Attorney Magna said it's not proper for the portion of the agenda that we're on and he said it could be moved to the next part of the agenda. He explained that a motion to table does not have to be listed on the published agenda it is part of the parliamentary procedure when considering an item for vote.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson asked Trustee Caulfield and Trustee Hanson if they would like to remove their motion and second until they get to that portion of the agenda.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield said that he thought this has to do with finance and that is why he brought it up under this section of the agenda. He said that he's not asking for the Board to decide to or not to spend any money; the motion is to table it so that we have more information and he considers it a financial issue.

Attorney Magna

Attorney Magna explained that after a motion and a second is made to approve an ordinance or a resolution and it's up for discussion is the time to bring a motion to table, which is called a preemptory motion and has to be considered. You need to have the motion and second in place to approve the resolution and then bring the motion to table.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield asked to have the motion reread. Clerk Rowe said the motion was to take the aquatic resolution and move it to the committee of the whole to identify the financial impact to the village. Attorney Magna said that he thought Trustee Caulfield said to lay it on the table. Trustee Caulfield said that he did say to lay on table and the reason he asked to have motion read was because he wanted to clarify it and said that not only does he want to table it, he wants to move it to the committee of the whole. Attorney Magna said then that would be a motion to amend the agenda to take the resolution off the agenda. He said the agenda was approved by a unanimous vote of the board, so it's on the agenda for consideration. This would be a motion to reconsider the agenda and then bring in the motion to refer the aquatic center resolution to the committee of the whole. Trustee Caulfield said that he was thinking that it is on the agenda and he wasn't asking it to be removed, he was asking it to be moved to the committee of the whole. He said that he is obviously discussing something that is on the agenda. Attorney Magna explained to Trustee Caulfield that moving the resolution to a committee of the whole is removing it from consideration from tonight's agenda and he discussed making the motion to table the resolution and then, if approved, move the matter that is tabled be referred to the committee of the whole. Attorney Magna said that you have to address the fact that it's on the agenda for consideration and the only way to do that is to vote

APPROVED MINUTES

up, down or table it, at least to that aspect of the procedure. Trustee Caulfield asked what would be wrong on voting on the motion at hand, he asked that it be moved because it's a financial consideration and he asked it during the finance committee under other business. Attorney Magna said it's a board meeting and the controls the agenda are with the Board and there's nothing wrong with considering that. He said that when you start setting precedence when things are set on the agenda, is the time to bring up any concerns about matters that appear on the agenda and would be at the time that the motion is being placed to approve the agenda. He said that once the agenda is approved, means these items are coming in on a scheduled basis for consideration.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that he gets lectured time and again about following the process and every time we somewhat deviate from the process, there's a question as to why we're not following it. He said that he thought we had a procedure on process in place and now we're not following it and maybe at the next meeting he wouldn't want to follow it. He wants to follow the procedure.

Attorney Magna

When asked if they could call the question, Attorney Magna said that the Mayor as chair can rule the motion out of order and he explained there are a number of ways to address this.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield said that he thought it was a simple motion that was asked and it's about finances. He said it was asked under other business under finance and he asked the Mayor to let the Board vote.

Clerk Rowe

Clerk Rowe said the motion was to move the aquatic center resolution to the committee of the whole to identify potential impact to the village. Trustee Caulfield responded that was correct.

Roll Call Vote – There being no further discussion, upon roll call the vote was:

YES: 2: Hanson and Caulfield.

NO: 4: McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

THE MOTION FAILED.

PUBLIC SAFETY, PARKS AND LICENSE

Aquatic Center Resolution – Clerk Rowe read aloud the following aquatic center resolution:

Whereas, The Village of Antioch possesses one swimming pool which through the hard work of the Antioch Lions Club fundraising was built over fifty years ago. The pool is located in Williams Park surrounded by the Antioch Lions Club Pavilion and Baseball Field number one. This pool has been a favorite of Antioch's youth over the years and a place in which the children of Antioch have learned to Swim. The Village is grateful to have had this amenity and all that it has provided, and;

Whereas, The Antioch pool facility has reached the end of its useful life and no longer conforms to what members of the community expect in a pool. It is recognized that the cost of maintaining or rehabbing the pool is reaching a point of negative returns for the village. It is also recognized that the statewide standards for competitive pools have changed. The standards represented at other facilities limits the ability of Antioch's youth to compete with surrounding communities. It is also recognized that year round exercise and physical activities are a healthy benefit for the population of Antioch, and;

Whereas, The residents of Antioch have indicated to the members of the Antioch Village Board the need and desire for a modern aquatic center coupled with a recreation facility. The size of such a facility cannot be placed in the current location of the Antioch pool, and;

Whereas, The Village of Antioch and its Park Board members have exhausted many hours researching solutions to the community's need for a modern aquatic centered coupled with a Recreation Facility, and;

Whereas, The Village Board recognizes that the planning time and cost of such a facility means that it will take a multi-year approach to building and funding said facility. The Village board further recognizes that any such site selected for building said facility will require thorough evaluation, testing and careful integration into the community, and;

Whereas, The Village Board recently purchased the property known as the "Bitner Piece". The size of the property generally conforms to the requirements as currently set forth. The property also adjoins other village property ensuring enough land for a Recreation Center that includes an Aquatic Facility. It is further recognized that utilizing future sidewalks and boardwalks, combined with easy road access this property provides the best access currently available for the most residents.

Now Therefore Be It Resolved, That the Village of Antioch needs a new aquatic center and its residents desire a recreation facility and;

Be It Further Resolved, That barring the failure of environmental testing and soil testing the "Bitner Piece" will be the property of choice by this Village Board to build the aforementioned Aquatic/Recreation Facility.

Adopted by the Village Board of Trustees of the Village of Antioch this 6th day of November, 2006.

Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to accept **Resolution 06-14, Aquatic Center /Recreation Facility** as read.

APPROVED MINUTES

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner said there have been many citizens who have come to the Board and asked for clarification about the sign, about our intentions in regards to this facility and she knows that before the property was purchased it was discussed by the Board in executive session and if need be, those minutes should be released so the public would know what we had in mind when we purchased the property. She said that she really believes the Board has given it careful consideration and that this should go forward.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson cited Section 1-4-6 of our rules section of our village code and rule 24. He read and distributed copies to the Board the following prepared statement:

“When a question before the board of trustees contains two (2) or more distinct propositions, any member of the board of trustees may call for a division of the question, and the vote shall be taken on each distinct proposition separately.” Trustee Hanson said this is in our code book and also State Statute and he asked Attorney Magna to correct him if he’s not right that he has the right to bring this up at this particular time and state his, because he thinks there are more than two distinct propositions in that resolution as stated. Attorney Magna asked Trustee Hanson what the two distinct propositions were. Trustee Hanson continued to read from the following prepared statement:

The resolution before the Board has multiple propositions combined into one document and I demand that votes be taken on each issue separately. These issues are as follows:

- 1. Should the Village do environmental and soil tests on the Bitner piece?*
- 2. Should the Village close the existing pool at Williams Park or continue to do patchwork repairs while the board considers the future aquatic center?*
- 3. Should the Village Board commit to an aquatic center on the Bitner piece when there is no current funding for the project, where there are no architectural or concept plans, and where there is no traffic studies, financial feasibility studies or other suitability studies available at present?*

I can support doing an environmental and soil tests on the property because they will be needed no matter what use the Village eventually puts on this property to.

I cannot support closing Williams Pool presently, and assuming that there are no severe safety issues that we have not been advised of I can and do support continuing to keep it in as good a state of repair as possible until a substitute is finally operational.

I cannot presently support committing to the Bitner piece as the site for an aquatic center because that is putting the cart before the horse. In my opinion we should designate a relatively modest amount of money for a complete feasibility study. That study should address all the issues of the traffic, financing and the community’s needs and desire so that we end up with a facility that not a burden to the taxpayers and provides the maximum bang for our tax dollars.

Trustee Hanson said he sees there are three different propositions as he stated, in this proposal that he is not against a pool facility whatsoever.

Attorney Magna

Attorney Magna said that when looking at a resolution, the “whereas” clauses are general statements and are not any action items, they’re reasons or purposes, thoughts as all “whereas” clauses are; they are called recitals. The meat of the resolution is the “be it resolved” and “further resolved”. Attorney Magna read the resolved portions of the resolution with the first resolve being “that the Village of Antioch needs a new aquatic center and its residents desire a recreation facility.” Attorney Magna asked Trustee Hanson if he agreed that this is not a statement of any action to be taken and is a statement by the resolution, yet to be considered, is a statement of intent, it’s a statement of recognition of need, it’s not an action statement. Trustee Hanson said that it just states that Antioch needs a new aquatic center, okay. Attorney Magna said that should the resolution be adopted, it’s a statement of recognition of need, no action. Attorney Magna said the second part, and the only second part of the meat of this, is the further resolve, “that barring the failure of environmental testing and soil testing, the “Bitner Piece” will be the property of choice by this Village Board to build the aforementioned Aquatic/Recreation Facility.” Attorney Magna said that number one, this cannot be construed as an authorization to go forward with soil testing and environmental studies, you have yet as a Board, to authorize such an action and no action should be taken unless this Board passes on it. He said what this is showing is a statement again of intent that, if and when you do these things, you’re going to have to approve it before they’re done and then you have to determine whether or not there is any action can be taken. Attorney Magna said the memo that Trustee Hanson distributed talks in terms of should the village close the existing pool, should the village do environmental soil tests, and should the village board commit to an aquatic center on the Bitner pierce. Attorney Magna said he doesn’t read the resolution as a commitment to it, but he reads the resolution as a focus on that property for purposes of further planning and to consider commitment when those commitments come before the Board. Attorney Magna said that his short answer to Trustee Hanson’s question regarding the resolution violating Rule 24 is no

APPROVED MINUTES

because you're not taking action with this resolution; it's a proposed statement of focus on this piece of property which he thinks there has been a great clamor for some decision one way or another as to whether this property should be the focus for the purposes of considering further action to put a pool there.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty said that as far he knows, we did do phase one environmental testing (due diligence) on the property as part of the purchase of property with authorization from the Board. He said this resolution gives good focus and keeps the village on proper process on where we were going with this piece of property from the beginning. He said the resolution was well-written.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield said that Trustee Turner mentioned the executive session discussion and the Bitner piece goes way back and he has his own memory as to what the thoughts and conclusions were at that time. He said that he remembered it as one of the potential sites and he remembered the fact that it could be a good investment for the village and whether or not it would suit such a facility was to be determined. He said that he's been to a couple of presentations that comes across a little hollow as far as providing real information. He said this is not a slam to the company because that was the scope of work that was paid for, but at the same time it's difficult for him to know without any dollars discussed or data to decide that the piece works, and of course those items fit on that piece. He said we can take another geographic piece and fit other kinds of facilities on it and he doesn't know that it addresses the need or data to help us make a decision as to whether or not that is the right piece of property for this project. Trustee Caulfield said that he remembers the Scout House and the Senior Center and he's not disagreeing with the intent or the ultimate end result, but he thinks when decisions were made, the intent was set and authorizations were given for various planning stages of the projects. He didn't think there was a full grasp of the financial considerations of those projects. He said the idea was that we approached those projects without really knowing and he remembered a meeting when he was asked about the approving a variance for the senior center to allow building to go closer to the street. He said at that time, we were not approving the building, but only for a variance and one can ask why waste all the time to get a variance, (a setback) if you're really not going to build a building. He said that he knew that it was going to be built before it was voted on and that those steps were being taken and he feels, in closing, that we call it a resolution and these in some circles debated as to if they are even necessary. He said that sometimes they're nice, and he discussed his preference to proclamations. He said the aquatic resolution is a way for the Board to potentially get some kudos for saying that we want to do an aquatic center, but he thought that it goes too far when it says that barring the soil testing, the Bitner piece will be the property of choice. He said that it's asking Trustees to say, to commit to something that really is not a commitment and he doesn't think it's right. Mayor Larson said that is why every Trustee gets a vote. Trustee Caulfield said that he understood that, but he thinks it's important, because these things are sometimes set up, but he couldn't think of another off-hand, and he knows these things come up at different times when you're trying to either sway public opinion or you're trying to put more nuts into your hole for the winter. He said what's going on with this resolution is that its trying to give the intent, as he heard Attorney Magna say, that we're going to build an aquatic complex on the Bitner piece unless the environmental soil testing says no and it seems premature.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said that we have been criticized because some of the members of the community say we haven't as Board formally voted that the majority of this Board wants to identify this piece as the site; so that is what we're doing tonight. She said that if down the line a piece of property would become available that was absolutely perfect, there's no reason why this Board couldn't rescind this resolution. She said for the time being this is the piece of property she thinks the aquatic center should be on and whether the rest of the Board agrees with that or not will be determined in the next few minutes. Mayor Larson said that we need to at least as a Board identify what the site should be used for.

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner said it's not about kudos for anyone; it's not about getting 'more nuts in a hole' or swaying the public, or anything else; it's about the children in this community needing a pool. She said it's about families needing a pool. She said that we have a swim team that can't compete at the level that some of the kids from other communities can. Trustee Turner discussed a young family who moved to Lake Forest so their child could swim and when she was fourteen years old, she made the Olympic team, but because the Olympic rules changed the age that year, she wasn't able to compete, however she went on to win many state championships. She said it's not about us, it's about the kids in the community and someone has to make a decision and she doesn't have a problem making the decision, let's do it. She said there are things that we have to do and this is one of them.

APPROVED MINUTES

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson said that he's not at for one second saying that he's against the kids, he's not saying he's against the pool or the facility; the only concern he has is there really a purpose for the resolution, does it really need to be in place to continue on the process on that particular property. He asked if this just makes the Board feel better so they can justify the sign that keeps being brought up. He said that is one of the reasons why he brought these things because he still feels that somewhere along the line, somebody is going to have to pay for it and the money has to come from somewhere else. He said that be it at the Bitner property, the XYZ property in the middle of town, he has no problem with any of the sites, but we get conflicting reports that this Board identified different sites and we turned them down. Trustee Hanson continued to say that he never once approved any site, but the Bitner property based on what James Freedman said that we secured that property for a purpose; and that purpose was possibly that facility. To this day, we haven't gone to that next step.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said there were at least four sites that were discussed for an aquatic center. She said this was the one that the Board was ultimately able to purchase. Trustee Hanson agreed that, yes, this was the property the Board was able to buy. Mayor Larson said the Board didn't just go out and picked the very first site, the others were discarded for a variety of reasons, but this was not the only site that we looked it, there were four and the Bitner property, the Board felt had the best potential. Trustee Hanson said that maybe we should identify the four sites so we don't keep getting questioned what those sites were and maybe it should be part of the resolution. Mayor Larson said that we ultimately purchased this site. Trustee Hanson said the point was that the property was secured because it was an excellent price, so you couldn't deny the fact the property was purchased correctly. Trustee Hanson asked where were the other sites and said that one site was Grimm Road, but because there was something to do the Forest Preserve access. Mayor Larson said the other properties are currently owned by other people, such as the Hermann property. Trustee Hanson said that that he remembered the Hermann property and agreed with Mayor Larson that the property was discussed in executive session. Mayor Larson said the other property was the Lasco property right across the street from Bitner property. Trustee Hanson indicated that he recalled those discussions.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty said that he didn't understand how everybody conveniently forgot what we've discussed and gone through. He discussed the process so far, by going to the Park Board and asked them for input and how we made this part of our community goal to have an aquatic center with a recreation facility. He also discussed the Bitner piece came before the Board for a vote to purchase and if we didn't have a plan in mind and a potential site for the aquatic center, why would we go through the motions. He said that we're here to take care of the Village and get this plan moving forward and make sure that everyone understands where you stand within the village. Trustee McCarty said the final plans are not in place and we don't have soil borings because we're not to that point yet. He said that we have preliminary conceptual drawings put together so the public has time to digest it and see what is going to work best for the neighbors and the community. He said that we have so many other things that have to be done before we dig one spade of dirt and the resolution is just giving you the idea that our Board and this community has decided to go forward with a recreational facility. Trustee McCarty said that we are elected officials who are trying to help our community and make it good for the children, seniors and everyone in the community.

Trustee McCarty called the question. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 2: Hanson and McCarty.

NO: 4: Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

THE MOTION FAILED.

Comment – Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch said that as Trustee Hanson now remembers we have considered four different parcels for the proposed pool center. The reason the public doesn't know which other parcels those were, was because we did it in executive session, our legal way of considering purchasing property, without the public getting wind of it and the price going up. She said that we're following law and procedures. Trustee Porch said that she is sure that every Trustee sitting here tonight has done extensive research about a pool; she knows that she has by talking to people within our village, township and even people over the state line. She said there is an overwhelming desire to have a pool and recreation facility and she knows that our children need that. Trustee Porch said the Bitner parcel is conducive for the children reaching it without Mom or Dad having to drive them and is one of the many reasons we did not consider the property Trustee Hanson mentioned off of Grimm Road because of the travel down the State Route 83. Trustee Porch said that she knows that everyone in the room is not happy about the proposed

APPROVED MINUTES

pool site and she is sorry for that. She said that sometimes, change and progress hurts a little bit. Trustee Porch said the residents of the Arbors who are not in favor of this, she can understand their reasons because when she moved onto Longview 16 years ago, she moved there because she had a farm field in her back yard and it was a great place. Now, when she looks out her back window, she sees the Arbors walkout basements; this is progress and change and we accepted it. Trustee Porch said that she is sorry that this proposal may not please every single resident of the Village of Antioch, but she believes it would please the majority of the residents. Trustee Porch said that as Trustee McCarty said, we have a lot of work still to do on this pool; we have no funding mechanism in place in this point. We listened, especially to those residents on Netherlands Drive who have asked where the Board is thinking about building the pool and the reason we're doing this resolution is so the direction that we are looking at is in writing.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce discussed the input that he's received from Mr. Freedman, Mrs. Kurth and going to gymnastics and sporting events with his daughters. He said that he gets asked about the pool everywhere he goes. He said people want to know what's going on with the pool in Antioch; they want to know what we're building and where we're building it. He said that we have to make those decisions before we can move forward and this is the first part to answer where are we going to build it and now we have to look at what we're going to build. Trustee Pierce said the resolution was not written by anyone that has any axe to grind other than working for the best benefit for the Village of Antioch.

Roll Call Vote - There being no further discussion and upon roll call the vote was:

YES: 4: McCarty, Pierce, *Porch and Turner.

NO: 1: Caulfield.

PASS: 1: Hanson.

*Trustee Porch asked Attorney Magna what the term "pass" meant. Attorney Magna said that at the present time it means that Trustee Hanson is passing on his vote and that he would be recalled for a vote at the end.

Clerk Rowe called on Trustee Hanson for his vote and he said that based on the fact that this is probably going to be the place where the majority of the Board wants it and he's assuming that the children of the Village are looking for a pool facility, he thought it was premature. He said that he's not against the pool or necessarily the site, he just thinks the resolution is premature and he then voted no.

YES: 4: McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 2: Hanson and Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Holiday Parade Resolution – Clerk Rowe read aloud the Holiday Parade resolution.

Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to approve the **Resolution 06-15, Holiday Parade Resolution**, as presented.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that he was asked by the Post Prom committee if it was possible to sell candy canes along the parade route behind the barriers. Following discussion, it was the consensus of the Board to have the Post Prom committee proceed with a candy day request as done by other organizations.

Roll Call Vote - There being no further discussion, upon roll call the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Approval of Lightening Warning System in the amount of \$15,165.00 as recommended – Trustee McCarty reviewed the memo dated October 16, 2006 from Park Commission Chairman Benes requesting the approval to proceed with the purchase of the Strike Guard Lightening Warning System by Harger in the amount of \$15,165.00. Trustee McCarty said this request was reviewed in committee and recommended by Village Engineer Fujihara and the Park Commission. Trustee McCarty said the system would be installed at Williams Park and will have remote sites at Centennial and Pederson Parks.

Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve the request to purchase the Strike Guard Lightening Warning System by Harger in the amount of \$15,165.00 as reviewed and discussed.

APPROVED MINUTES

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield asked if this amount was budgeted. Administrator Haley said that it was in the budget.

Comment – Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch said this was discussed at length at the last committee meeting.

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner said that when she was on the Park Board years ago, they talked about a lightening warning system. She discussed other communities that she visited it where there lightening alarms sounded even when the weather seemed clear. Trustee Turner said that it's about time that Antioch gets a system like this.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said this system also posts an "all-clear" after a certain amount of time. She said the Park Commission has done extensive work looking at many different systems.

Roll Call Vote - There being no further discussion, upon roll call the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Award contract for antennae removal and associated wiring for the installation of equipment at the new monopole cell tower - Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Pierce to table this item to committee.

Comment – Trustee Caulfield

Trustee Caulfield asked if there was a bid process on this item and he would like more information. Trustee McCarty said that he believed more information would be discussed at committee.

Roll Call Vote - There being no further discussion, upon roll call the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Other Business – Lions Club Holiday Baskets - Trustee McCarty said that the Lions Club will be distributing over 100 food baskets this Thanksgiving and Christmas season.

DELINQUENT ESCROW ACCOUNTS

No report.

ATTORNEY

Second reading and approval of AN ORDINANCE APPROVING AN AGREEMENT FOR PREPAYMENT OF SPECIAL TAX FOR SPECIAL SERVICE AREA NO. 2 BETWEEN NEUMANN HOMES, INC. AND THE VILLAGE OF ANTIOCH, IL (CLUBLANDS PHASE 3 RE-PLAT) PZB06-08 - Attorney Magna reviewed the ordinance and reported that Neumann Homes agreed to and signed the agreement that was distributed in the last board packet and the security provision that protects the Village going forward is that no further bonds from any of the special service areas can be approved if Neumann Homes has not prepaid any subsequent payments which results from making larger lots.

Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to waive second reading and approve **Ordinance No. 06-11-40**, entitled, **AN ORDINANCE APPROVING AN AGREEMENT FOR PREPAYMENT OF SPECIAL TAX FOR SPECIAL SERVICE AREA NO. 2 BETWEEN NEUMANN HOMES, INC. AND THE VILLAGE OF ANTIOCH, IL (CLUBLANDS PHASE 3 RE-PLAT) PZB06-08**. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

First reading of AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING BY REFERENCE THE VILLAGE OF ANTIOCH EMPLOYEE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES WITH A FINAL DRAFT DATE OF NOVEMBER 6, 2006 - Attorney Magna read the ordinance. Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to waive the second reading of the ordinance. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

APPROVED MINUTES

Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to approve and publish in pamphlet form **Ordinance No. 06-11-39**, entitled, **AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING BY REFERENCE THE VILLAGE OF ANTIOCH EMPLOYEE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES WITH A FINAL DRAFT DATE OF NOVEMBER 6, 2006**. Upon roll call, the vote was:
YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.
NO: 0.
THE MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said that staff has worked very hard on this project and she's glad to see the Village now has a policy in place that not only protects the Village, but also protects the employees. She thanked everyone for their hard work and efforts.

First reading of AN ORDINANCE APPROVING FINAL SUBDIVISION PLAT FOR ANTIOCH INDUSTRIAL CENTER, ON THE APPLICATION OF ANTIOCH INDUSTRIAL CENTER LLC; PZB 06-13 - Attorney Magna read the ordinance. The ordinance will be placed on the next Village Board agenda for second reading and consideration for approval.

First reading of AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 4-2-6 AND 4-2-14 OF THE ANTIOCH VILLAGE CODE PERTAINING TO RENEWAL, TRANSFER, CHANGE OF LOCATION AND REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF THE RETAIL LIQUOR DEALER'S LICENSE FOR NONPAYMENT OF TAXES DUE THE VILLAGE - Attorney Magna read the ordinance. Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee Pierce to waive the second reading of the ordinance. Upon roll call, the vote was:
YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.
NO: 0.
THE MOTION CARRIED.

Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to approve and publish in pamphlet form **Ordinance No. 06-11-41**, entitled, **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 4-2-6 AND 4-2-14 OF THE ANTIOCH VILLAGE CODE PERTAINING TO RENEWAL, TRANSFER, CHANGE OF LOCATION AND REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF THE RETAIL LIQUOR DEALER'S LICENSE FOR NONPAYMENT OF TAXES DUE THE VILLAGE**. Upon roll call, the vote was:
YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.
NO: 0.
THE MOTION CARRIED.

Executive Session – Pending and Probable Litigation – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty for the Mayor and Board of Trustees to go into executive session at 10:02 p.m. to discuss pending and probable litigation. Upon roll call, the vote was:
YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.
NO: 0.
THE MOTION CARRIED.

Return from Executive Session – Trustee McCarty moved, seconded by Trustee Turner for the Mayor and Board of Trustees to return from executive session to the open meeting at 10:40 p.m. with **no action taken**. Upon roll call, the vote was:
YES: 6: Hanson, McCarty, Caulfield, Pierce, Porch and Turner.
NO: 0.
THE MOTION CARRIED.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further discussion, Trustee McCarty moved seconded by Trustee Pierce to adjourn the regular meeting of the Board of Trustees at 10:41 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Candi L. Rowe CMC
Village Clerk