

APPROVED MINUTES
VILLAGE OF ANTIOCH
BOARD OF TRUSTEES, REGULAR MEETING
Municipal Building: 874 Main Street, Antioch, IL
January 2, 2007

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Larson called the January 2, 2007 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees to order at 7:30 PM in the Municipal Building: 874 Main Street, Antioch, IL.

ROLL CALL

Following the Pledge of Allegiance, roll call indicated the following Trustees were present: Hanson, McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner. Also present were Mayor Larson, Administrator Haley, Director of Physical Services/Assistant Administrator Keim, Attorney Magna and Clerk Rowe. Absent: Trustee Caulfield.

APPROVE BALANCE OF AGENDA FORM

Trustee Porch moved seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve the balance of the January 2, 2007 meeting agenda as presented. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSENT: 1: Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

DECEMBER 18, 2006 REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve December 18, 2006 meeting minutes as presented.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty asked Clerk Rowe about the changes made to the minutes. Clerk Rowe explained that Trustee Hanson called earlier today and asked her to amend certain sections of the minutes. She said that typically when a Trustee calls with changes to the minutes, she would distribute an amended copy of the minutes to the Board highlighting the requested changes. Clerk Rowe reviewed the two changes requested by Trustee Hanson.

Comment – Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch asked if the highlighted changes were to be eliminated from the minutes or added. Clerk Rowe said on page 5 of 7 under delinquent escrow accounts, the word with the strikethrough would be removed; on page 5 of 7, in the second paragraph the additional highlighted words would be included.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty asked if the word with the strikethrough was said. Clerk Rowe replied that the word *delinquent* was said and the highlighted section is what Trustee Hanson would like added. Trustee McCarty said that whatever was on the tape is what he would like to see in the minutes.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson asked if the motion was to approve the original or the amended version of the minutes. Trustee McCarty said the motion is to approve the original version of the minutes.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson said "Clerk Rowe went over that with me and there was a lot more said and this is some of the excerpts from that, so I would like to see them left in there because, if not, I would like to hear the whole tape. Because obviously, some of the stuff, because you're just highlighting it as far as you said earlier in the meetings." Trustee Hanson said he wants this added because this is what was basically said. He said "I'm not asking for a whole lot when it comes to these minutes, you're paraphrasing and it should be paraphrased the way the actual person said it."

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said that under delinquent escrow accounts, the Clerk said that Trustee Hanson did say the word delinquent when referring to the delinquent escrow accounts committee. Trustee Hanson said "well that could have been, but we don't really have delinquent escrow accounts, we have escrow accounts, I'm just in charge of the committee." Mayor Larson said that is the committee that Trustee Hanson chairs. Trustee Hanson said that is right. Trustee Hanson said "that the word could have been used as far as presented, but we don't really have a log of delinquent escrow accounts." Mayor Larson said that she realizes that, but that is what Trustee Hanson said. Trustee Hanson said "I'm not sure if the residents don't realize it and if you want to keep the word delinquent in there, that's fine." Mayor Larson said

APPROVED MINUTES

there is a motion to approve the minutes as originally presented, and asked if there was any further discussion.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson said “as far as the delinquent escrow that is fine, but as far as the other part, I would like to make the change, even if it has to go into the old minutes.”

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty said there is a first and second on the floor asking to accept the minutes as stated without those changes.

Comment – Trustee Hanson

Trustee Hanson asked Attorney Magna “why it’s such a big deal and why we can’t have these minutes represent some of what was said.” He also said “I’m not asking for a lot, as far as delinquent, I can live with that, I did say that.” He said “I heard on the tape today, and Candi can tell me if I’m right or wrong, because I heard that some of that was actually heard on that tape.” Clerk Rowe said that it was implied, but is not what was said exactly. Trustee Hanson said “but it’s implied.”

Comment – Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch asked Trustee Hanson if he would like his words used verbatim, and asked him if that is what he is asking. Trustee Hanson said “I’m not asking that at all.” Trustee Porch said that we can’t expect the Clerk to figure out what we implied to say, she can only hear what we actually did say. Trustee Hanson said “that some of this was implied and she is putting it in different, because a lot of this stuff, you can say that she changes a little bit also on any part of these minutes.”

Attorney Magna

Attorney Magna asked Trustee Hanson for clarification on his question. Trustee Hanson said “as far as the minutes go, when you’re paraphrasing or getting excerpts or however you would say it, so you don’t have to do the whole lengthy, everything that is said, if a Trustee feels there is something that was missed as far as what they represented I would think that should be part of the minutes is all I’m trying to say”. Attorney Magna said we may have discussed this before, these are Board minutes, so the Board passes on the minutes, sometimes by a majority vote, and the minutes are what the majority states they are. He said that often times, if a Trustee or the Mayor feels that there needs to be further clarification, they can certainly note that as Trustee Hanson is doing now and that notation should be in the next set of minutes. Attorney Magna said that you kind of have to put the two together, but if the Board desires to approve the Clerk’s minutes as presented or amended that is the Board’s prerogative. Attorney Magna said that for this particular item, the Village Board agenda carries it as delinquent escrow accounts. Trustee Hanson said the he was fine with that. Trustee Hanson said “as far as the lag fees, because we had a lengthy discussion on lag fees and I remembered stating that this was discussed at previous meetings, at the village level and I know that Trustee McCarty chimed in and said that, it’s not even in here, your statements as far as the planning and zoning board’s spent several meetings discussing lag fees and he just felt if that’s the true statements, we should have it so the public could actually see it.”

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson said that she doesn’t know if it was discussed at Village Board level and she knew it was discussed at committee meetings. Trustee Hanson said “that should be part of it.” He said “we’re making it seem like lag fees is all brand new and it’s never been discussed.” Mayor Larson said that if that wasn’t said at the meeting, why we would include it. Trustee Hanson asked why we wouldn’t include it. Mayor Larson said that we’re not rewriting history; we’re simply stating what a Trustee said. Trustee Hanson said that a Trustee is making it seem like a whole new thing that we never heard it before and all I’m trying to do is counter back and say it’s not. Mayor Larson said we haven’t discussed it at this level. Trustee Hanson asked what the difference was if it was at the village. Trustee Hanson said “I guess I’m not going to win, but the next set of minutes, I want that in there.”

Attorney Magna

Attorney Magna asked if the point was that it was discussed at the Village Board level. Trustee Hanson said that he couldn’t remember. Attorney Magna said the amended minutes states that it was discussed at the village level and he asked what that would mean. Trustee Hanson said “village level could mean committee or zoning and that it doesn’t necessarily have to be a village board meeting.” Attorney Magna said there should be some conceptual preciseness in the minutes and if there is any implication that it was discussed at the village board level, that really isn’t correct and he said as Mayor Larson stated, that is rewriting history. Trustee Hanson said “I didn’t say village board, he said village level and that nobody here seems to remember totally, but I remember that we talked about it and that’s all I’m stating here.” He asked why the public can’t know in the minutes. Mayor Larson said that the last

APPROVED MINUTES

meeting, where it was discussed, was a public meeting. Trustee Hanson said that not everybody in the audience is at every meeting. Trustee McCarty said “what was said was said and why should we rewrite history.” Trustee Hanson said that we’re not rewriting history and he was just stating that we had meeting. Trustee McCarty said there is a motion and a second on the floor. Trustee Hanson said “we keep talking that we can’t seem to get along and all I’m trying to do is get along and at least once in a while, at least see it my way.”

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner said “what was said at the meeting is reflected in the minutes and what was said at previous meetings are in those minutes. When people go online and read our minutes, they know what was said at that particular meeting and what was said at other meetings are in those minutes. It should be accurate for those who can’t come to our meetings.” She said that whatever we are saying should be in the minutes and that’s it. Trustee Hanson said “the minutes are not accurate, that’s all I’m saying, but I won’t have the majority vote so it’s going to go.”

Roll Call Vote – There being no further discussion and upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 4: McCarty, Porch, Turner and Mayor Larson.

NO: 1: Hanson.

ABSTAIN: 1: Pierce.

ABSENT: 1: Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

PETITIONS/BIDS

No report.

MAYOR

Mayoral Proclamation to Honoring Army Specialist Anthony James Pignato – Mayor Larson said that she was going to honor Anthony Pignato who is a soldier in the army who has served in Iraq. Mayor Larson said that Anthony’s mom, Jeanne Oravetz, helped organize sending letters and gifts to the soldiers program, which was very successful and she thanked the village for participating. She said that Anthony was not able to make tonight’s meeting and she read aloud the proclamation. The proclamation will be sent to the Pignato family.

CLERK

Tuesday Committee Meetings – Clerk Rowe explained that in the month of May, both Committee of the Whole meetings would be on the Tuesday following the regular Board meetings and said that she and Mayor Larson had some discussion whether to change these meeting dates. Trustee Hanson said that he would like to see the meeting dates to remain as posted and he felt it would be less confusing for the public. Following a brief discussion, it was the consensus of those present to leave the Committee of the Whole meeting dates as posted and make any changes, if needed, as we get closer to those meeting dates.

CITIZENS WISHING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD

Mayor Larson said that no one signed in to address the Board and she asked if there was anyone present in the audience who would like to address the Board.

Denny McGuirk, Redwing View resident – Mr. McGuirk, Redwing View subdivision introduced himself and said that he was recently elected as president to their homeowner’s association. He said that he would like to meet the Board and find out what he can do to work with the Board on behalf of the Pulte community. He also asked about speed limits in the subdivision and asked who he could contact about who regulates speed limits. Mayor Larson suggested that he give his name to the Village Clerk or Village Administrator and we could set something up so he can get the information he needs. She said that it’s nice to know who the association presidents are and she discussed that often times things come up and we would like to know who to contact. Mr. McGuirk said that he would appreciate that the Village contact him if needed in the future.

ADMINISTRATOR

No report.

COMMUNICATIONS

No report.

LEGISLATIVE AND HUMAN RESOURCES

No report.

APPROVED MINUTES

PLANNING, ZONING AND BUILDING, SENIOR SERVICES

Combined Planning Commission and Zoning Board letter of recommendation dated December 27, 2006 re: PZB 06-15; Requested Rear Yard Variation, Lot 40 of Westgate Subdivision Unit 2; PIN 02-07-401-102; 629 Asbury Court – Director of Planning and Zoning Nilsen reviewed the following Planning Commission and Zoning Board's letter of recommendation:

The Combined Planning Commission and Zoning Board conducted a public hearing on December 14, 2006 following notification as required by State Law and Village Ordinance to consider a variation request made on behalf of Tom Straka.

The Village Code Title 10-5H-3 states that "Every lot or parcel on which a building is constructed shall have a rear yard of not less than twenty five feet (25)"; The applicant requests that the rear lot setback be reduced down from 25 to 10 feet in order to accommodate a twenty eight (28') by twenty four foot (24') home addition.

The hearing began with a petition presentation by Paul Straka, the project architect. Mr. Straka presented the facts of the case from his petition and requested approval.

Staff presented its report, which is attached for your review. In summary, staff could not recommend approval of the request because of the circumstances that necessitate the variation did not meet the standards for approval listed under Title 10, Chapter 14, and Section 6 of the Village Code, as amended.

Following its deliberation, the Board moved to deny PZB 06-15. The motion carried unanimously. Therefore, the Planning and Zoning Board recommends denial of PZB 06-15.

Trustee Turner said that being that we take each one of these variations individually and the Planning and Zoning Board does their due diligence, going over each and every aspect of it, she moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to uphold the negative recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Board and deny the petition.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce asked if the petitioner was present and asked if the property backs up to open space so that it does not impinge on anyone behind him at the time. Mr. Thomas Straka, present in the audience, responded that was correct and that there are woods and wetlands behind him. He said the proposed addition is away from his nearest neighbor, adjacent to his home to the West. Mr. Straka discussed that a Planning and Zoning Board member discussed home value at the meeting and he wasn't sure exactly what he meant by the comments. He also said the Planning and Zoning Board did not want to set precedence and that a variation needs to meet unique circumstances which he believed he had with his lot configuration. Mr. Straka said that even if he cut the proposal in half, it would still be beyond the setback requirements and would need a variance.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty asked for clarification regarding the setback. Mr. Straka explained that if he were to cut the addition size in half, the back corner of the addition would still require a variance. Mr. Straka said that he has a large family and he needs more room when entertaining and that is why the proposed addition is the size that it is. Mr. Straka said that he felt that his proposal was the best that he could do with his lot size. Mr. Straka said that he met with Director Nilsen who was very helpful throughout the application process. He said that Mr. Nilsen indicated that he didn't see a reason why the variation request would not be granted, but in the staff report gave a different recommendation and felt there was some contradiction that he is still puzzled with.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce asked if the neighbors were notified of the hearing and did any of them attend the Planning and Zoning Board hearing. Mr. Straka said there was a neighbor who attended the hearing and he submitted with his application a document showing that all ten of his neighbors, within 200' approved his home addition proposal. He said the person attending the hearing did not testify. Trustee Pierce asked if the architect listed on the application was a professional architect. Mr. Straka said that his uncle, Paul Straka, is a professional licensed architect.

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner asked how many feet over the setback line the addition would go. Mr. Straka said it would go past the setback line by 15'. Trustee Turner said that usually any variation is not quite that large and dealing with the amount of building that we do in the Village, there are requests for variations all the time. She said that sometimes the variations are granted and a lot of the times they are not. She said that as far as setting precedence, we really do look at each individual variation. Mr. Straka said that is all that he asks. Trustee Turner said this is a sensitive area because it does back up to woods and this would be an impervious service and it's a considerable addition. Trustee Turner said that she went out and looked at the area and there would be a lot of drainage coming from the property and there is flooding on the path back there. Trustee Turner asked Mr. Straka if there was any way he would be interested in

APPROVED MINUTES

proposing a smaller addition. Thomas Straka said that he would be willing to trim it down a bit, but he has a large family and he expressed concerns regarding the look of the addition (possible strange angles) if he were limited to the configuration of his lot. Trustee Turner said that she understood Mr. Straka's concerns and if Mr. Nilsen gave him the impression that the variation would go through would have only been his opinion because no one can be sure of the votes before hand. Mr. Straka said that Mr. Nilsen also told him on several occasions that it was possible that the Planning and Zoning Board may not approve his variation request. Trustee Turner also discussed other variation requests that were denied.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty discussed a previous zoning variation request in the Heron Harbor subdivision and said this application had some similarity by being a corner lot not abutting a street on the back, not having a site or impaired vision because of the structure and the side lot is larger, so the buildings would set off to the other side to compensate for that. He said this lot does have an angle in the backyard which would make it difficult to put any addition on this house. Trustee McCarty asked Board members to keep this in mind if the applicant decides to come back with something a little bit different.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson asked Attorney Magna if once the vote is taken and the Planning and Zoning Board's recommendation is upheld, can the petitioner go back with a different plan and different variation request within a certain time frame. She also asked if the majority of the Board thought that they would like to not deny his petition and see something different and asked if we are better off tabling the request.

Attorney Magna

Attorney Magna discussed the Village's criteria that should be followed and the options available to the Board are to vote up or down based on the pending motion; if the motion is withdrawn the Board could remand it back to the Plan Commission, with the petitioner's concurrence, for purposes of coming in with something different with no assurances one way or the other; or, you can withdraw the motion and send the item to committee for further study, but the Village has a Plan Commission so he suggested the Board use the Plan Commission. Attorney Magna said this is platted setback and the owner was aware of the setbacks when he purchased the home.

Comment – Trustee Porch

Trustee Porch asked if the current motion is upheld would the petitioner be allowed to come back with another plan. Attorney Magna suggested the Board considering remanding the request back to the Planning and Zoning Board with an amended plan.

Mayor Larson

Mayor Larson asked the petitioner, Thomas Straka if he would consider amending his proposal and having it remanded back to the Planning and Zoning Board. Mr. Straka expressed concern regarding going back to the Planning and Zoning Board.

Comment – Trustee Pierce

Trustee Pierce said that he understood the concern with setting precedence. He also said we need to get to a point to where we can work with the residents on variance requests. Mayor Larson said there are certain criteria that must be met before granting a variance and she believed the Board works with the residents as this meeting has shown. She said the Board listened to the petitioner and there was an open discussion among the Trustees.

Comment – Trustee Turner

Trustee Turner asked Mr. Straka if he prefers to have the Board vote on his request instead of going back to the Planning and Zoning Board. Mr. Straka said that he would be willing to reduce the size of the proposed addition.

Trustee Turner and Trustee Porch removed their motion and second.

Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee Porch that PZB 06-15 Requested Rear Yard Variation, Lot 40 of Westgate Subdivision Unit 2 be remanded back to the Planning and Zoning Board with an amended plan. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSENT: 1: Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

APPROVED MINUTES

Combined Planning Commission and Zoning Board letter of recommendation dated December 27, 2006 re: PZB 06-16; A final plat of subdivision request for Clublands of Antioch Phase 4. The subject site generally located south of Illinois State Route 173, along Savage Road and within Sections 22 and 23 of Township 46N, Range 10E – Director of Planning and Zoning Nilsen reviewed the following Planning Commission and Zoning Board's letter of recommendation:

The Combined Planning Commission and Zoning Board conducted the December 14, 2006 meeting following notification as required by State Law and Village Ordinance to consider a final subdivision request by Neumann Homes Inc. for the Clublands of Antioch Phase 4. The proposed subdivision will create the final 319 lots of the Clublands Development.

Staff made a brief statement regarding the final plat. For the record staff noted that the necessary preliminary plat hearings and their subsequent approvals occurred in 2002, final engineering documents have been submitted and reviewed pursuant to Section 11-2-4 of Title 11 of the Antioch Municipal Code, and the resulting comments from the engineering review are few, technical in nature, and will not impact the layout of the subdivision plat.

In conclusion, since the final plat is in substantial conformance with the approved preliminary plat in terms of lot size, number, orientation, street layout; and demonstrates compliance with the PUD, Titles 10 and 11 of the Village Code, staff recommended approval of the plat request.

Following a brief deliberation, the Board moved to approve PZB 06-16. The motion carried unanimously. Therefore, the Planning and Zoning Board approves PZB 06-16.

Trustee Turner moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve the Planning and Zoning Board's recommendation regarding the final plat of subdivision for Clublands of Antioch Phase 4; PZB 06-16, with engineering issues being completed before the issuance of any permit and authorizes the Village Attorney to draft the appropriate ordinance for first reading at the next Village Board meeting. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSENT: 1: Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

ENGINEERING, PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES

Other Business – Jim Keim, Director of Physical Services/ Assistant Village Administrator – Mayor Larson welcomed Jim Keim, Director of Physical Services and Assistant Village Administrator. Mr. Keim said that he is glad to be back and he appreciates the opportunity to serve the village.

FINANCE, ECONOMIC AND REDEVELOPMENT

Invoices over \$10,000 – Trustee Porch reviewed the informational report dated January 2, 2007 prepared by village staff showing invoices over \$10,000 and totaling \$691,336.79. Invoices listed were: Blue Cross Blue Shield in the amount of \$81,336.79 for employee health insurance; Dave & Teresa Worklan in the amount of \$10,000 for façade payout; WRT Environmental, LLC in the amount of \$600,000 for WRT Wells, Neumann.

Summary of Escrow – Trustee Porch reviewed the informational report dated January 2, 2007 prepared by village staff showing escrow payments in the amount of \$6,281.32.

Accounts Payable – Trustee Porch asked Administrator Haley if the accounts payables stand as presented. Administrator Haley responded that the payables stand as presented. Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to approve as presented and authorize payment of accounts payable as prepared by village staff and dated January 2, 2007 in the amount of \$800,583.26. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSENT: 1: Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Trustee Hanson wanted the record to show that he opposed the developer escrow payments to the Law Offices of Rudolph F. Magna, but he approved the rest of the accounts payables as presented.

Authorize payment of SSA accounts payable as prepared by village staff – Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Pierce to approve the SSA accounts payable report dated January 2, 2007 as presented and prepared by village staff. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSENT: 1: Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

APPROVED MINUTES

Payroll Expense Report dated December 15, 2006 - Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee McCarty to approve as presented the Payroll Expense Report dated December 15, 2006 in the amount of \$295,887.36 which includes holiday bonuses and police department holiday pay. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSENT: 1: Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

IMRF Out-of-State Credit Authorization – Administrator Haley explained the IMRF Out-of-State Credit Authorization request. He said Finance Director Joy McCarthy participated in the state of Ohio's retirement system and she is attempting to buy back the four years in that system. Administrator Haley said that in order to do that, we need certification by the Clerk that the Village Board approved the out-of-state credit. He further explained how Ms. McCarthy would buy back the four years of service over a period of time through payroll deductions and the cost to the village over a 26-year period is less than one-half of one percent of what the Village currently pays into IMRF.

Trustee Porch moved, seconded by Trustee Turner to authorize the Clerk to sign the IMRF Out-of-State Credit Authorization. Upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSENT: 1: Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

PUBLIC SAFETY, PARKS AND LICENSE

Other Business – Hillside and Lake Street - Trustee McCarty said when going South on Hillside there is a posted directional sign that is the opposite of what is on the pavement. Administrator Haley and Assistant Administrator Keim will look into the situation.

DELINQUENT ESCROW ACCOUNTS

No report.

ATTORNEY

Second reading and approval of AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 7-3-6 OF THE ANTIOCH VILLAGE CODE TO RESTRICT PARKING ON CAMERON DRIVE FROM TIFFANY FARMS ROAD TO THE END OF CAMERON DRIVE – Attorney Magna reviewed the ordinance.

Trustee Pierce moved, seconded by Trustee Porch to approve and publish in pamphlet form **Ordinance No. 07-01-01**, entitled, **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 7-3-6 OF THE ANTIOCH VILLAGE CODE TO RESTRICT PARKING ON CAMERON DRIVE FROM TIFFANY FARMS ROAD TO THE END OF CAMERON DRIVE**.

Comment – Trustee McCarty

Trustee McCarty said the Mayor and Board of Trustees received copies of letters regarding the situation on Cameron Drive. He said that being there and living there, posting “no parking” on Cameron Drive has been a tremendous help in the area and for the safety of the kids. Mayor Larson said she has received a lot of positive comments regarding the Village Board's action on Cameron Drive.

Roll Call Vote – There being no further discussion and upon roll call, the vote was:

YES: 5: Hanson, McCarty, Pierce, Porch and Turner.

NO: 0.

ABSENT: 1: Caulfield.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

Executive Session – Pending and Probable Litigation – Attorney Magna said he does not have a request to go into closed session this evening.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further discussion, Trustee Porch moved seconded by Trustee McCarty to adjourn the regular meeting of the Board of Trustees at 8:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Candi L. Rowe RMC/CMC
Village Clerk